## 11 References

3i (2002). Nanotechnology – size matters. Building a successful nanotechnology company. A 3i white paper in association with the Economist Intelligence Unit and the Institute of Nanotechnology. www.3ihome.com/pdfdir/3i nanotech techpaper.pdf

Anton et al (2001). The Global Technology Revolution:Bio/Nano/Materials Trends and their Synergies with Information Technology by 2015. RAND: Santa Monica, CA

Arnall A H (2003). Future Technologies, Today's Choices: Nanotechnology, Artificial Intelligence and Robotics – A Technical, Political and Institutional Map of Emerging Technologies. Greenpeace Environmental Trust: London

Arvai J, Gregory R & McDaniels T (2001). Testing a structured decision-aiding approach: value-focused thinking for deliberative risk communication. Risk Analysis 21, 1065-1076

Baird D (2004). Converging technologies, diverging values? European and American Perspectives on NBIC. Paper presented at AAAS Forum on Science and Technology Policy, April 22 2004

BCC (2001). Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> annual BCC conference fine, ultrafine and nanoparticles Oct. 14-17, 2001. Chicago, USA

Beierle T C & Cayford J (2002). Democracy in Practice: Public Participation in Environmental Decisions. Resources for the Future: Washington, DC

Bennat C and Müller-Goymann C C (2000). Skin penetration and stabilisation of formulations containing microfine titanium dioxide as physical UV filter. International Journal of Cosmetic Science 22, 271–284

Bermudez E, Mangum J B, Wong B A, Asgharian B, Hext P M, Warheit D B and Everitt J I (2004). Pulmonary responses of rats, mice and hamsters to subchronic inhalation of ultrafine titanium dioxide particles. Toxicological Sciences (in press)

Better Regulation Task Force (2003). Scientific Research: Innovation with Controls. Better Regulation Task Force Report. Cabinet Office Publications and Publicity Team: London, UK

Blockley D I (1980). The Nature of Structural Design and Safety. Ellis Horwood: Chichester, UK

BMRB (2004). Nanotechnology: Views of the General Public. London, UK: BMRB International Ltd Report. www.nanotec.org.uk

Bodian D & Howe H A (1941). The rate of progression of poliomyelitis virus in nerves. Bulletin of the Johns Hopkins Hospital 69, 79-85

Borm P J A & Kreyling W (2004). Toxicological hazards of inhaled nanoparticles - potential implications for drug delivery. Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology 4, 1–11

Breil R et al (2002). Intercomparison of scanning probe microscopes. Precision Engineering 26, 296–305

Brook R D, Franklin B, Cascio W, Hong Y, Howard G, Lipsett M, Luepker R, Mittleman M, Samet J, Smith S C & Tager I (2004). Air pollution and cardiovascular disease. Circulation 109, 2655-2671

Brown D M, Stone V, Findlay P, MacNee W & Donaldson K (2000). Increased inflammation and intracellular calcium caused by ultrafine carbon black is independent of transition metals or other soluble components. Occupational and Environmental Medicine 57, 685-691

Buchanan A, Brock D W, Daniels N & Wikler D (2002). Chance to Choice: Genetics and Justice. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK

Cabinet Office (2002). Risk: Improving Government's Ability to Handle Risk and Uncertainty. Cabinet Office Strategy Unit: London, UK

Cabinet Office (2003). Field Work: Weighing up the Costs and Benefits of GM Crops. Cabinet Office Strategy Unit: London, UK

Calderón-Garcidueñas L, Maronpot R R, Torres-Jardon R, Henríquez-Roldán C, Schoonhoven R, Acuña-Ayala H, Villareal-Calderón A, Nakamura J, Fernando R, Reed W, Azzarelli B & Swenberg J A (2003). DNA damage and in nasal and brain tissue of canines exposed to air pollutants is associated with evidence of chronic brain inflammation and neurodegeneration. Toxicologic Pathology 31, 524-538

Chemical Industry (2003). Chemical Industry R&D Roadmap for Nanomaterials by Design: From Fundamentals to Function. Chemical Industry Vision2020 Technology Partnership. www.ChemicalVision2020.org

Chemicals Stakeholder Forum (2003). Criteria for Concern of the Chemicals Stakeholder Forum. www.defra.gov.uk/environment/chemicals/csf/criteria.htm

Churg A & Brauer M (1997). Human lung parenchyma retains PM2.5. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 155, 2109-2111

Cientifica (2004). Nanotubes. www.cientifica.com/html/docs/Nanotubes%202004 ExSum.pdf

Cox P, Niewöhner J, Pidgeon N, Gerrard S, Fischhoff B and Riley D (2003). The use of mental models in chemical risk protection: developing a generic workplace methodology. Risk Analysis 23, 311–324

DEFRA (2001). Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards Airborne Particles: What is the appropriate measurement on which to base a standard? A Discussion Document. DEFRA: London, UK

Dennekamp M, Mehenni O H, Cherrie J & Seaton A (2002). Exposure to ultrafine particles and PM2.5 in different micro-environments. Annals of Occupational Hygiene **46** (suppl. 1), 412–414

Donaldson K, Stone V, Seaton A & MacNee W (2001). Ambient particle inhalation and the cardiovascular system: potential mechanisms. Environmental Health Perspectives 109 (suppl. 4), 523-527

DfT (2003). GRPE particle measurement programme (PMP): government sponsored work programmes. Department for Transport: London, UK

DTI (2002). New dimensions for manufacturing: A UK strategy for Nanotechnology. Department of Trade and Industry: London, UK

Eigler D M & Schweizer E K (1990). Positioning single atoms with a scanning tunneling microscope. Nature **344**, 524–526

Energy Technology Support Unit (1992). Research and Technology Strategy to Help Overcome Environmental Problems in Relation to Transport. Study Group 2, UKAEA: Harwell, UK

Environment Agency (2004). Participatory Risk Assessment: Involving Lay Audiences in Environmental Decisions on Risk (Technical Report E2-043/TR/01). Environment Agency: Bristol, UK

Erhardt D (2003). Materials conservation: not-so-new technology. Nature Materials Vol 2, 509-510. www.ismn.cnr.it/Symp-O-NatureMaterials.pdf

ETC (2003a). The Big Down: Atom Tech – Technologies Converging at the Atomic Scale. Action Group on Erosion, Technology and Concentration: Winnipeg, Canada www.etcgroup.org.

ETC (2003b). No Small Matter II: The Case for a Global *Moratorium – Size Matters!* Occasional Paper Series **7**(1). www.etcgroup.org/documents/Occ.Paper\_Nanosafety.pdf European Commission (2001). White paper – Strategy for a future chemicals policy. COM(2001) 88 final.

European Commission (2003). Communication of the European Commission: Integrated product policy building on environmental Life-Cycle thinking. COM(2003) 302.

European Commission (2004a). Communication of the European Commission: Towards a European Strategy for Nanotechnology. COM(2004) 338

European Commission (2004b). Nanotechnologies: A preliminary risk analysis on the basis of a preliminary workshop organized in Brussels on 1-2 March by the Health and Consumer Protection Directorate General of the European Commission. europa.eu.int/comm/health/ph risk/documents/ev 20040301 en.pdf

Faux S P, Tran C L, Miller B G, Jones A D, Monteiller C & Donaldson K (2003). In vitro determinants of particulate toxicity: The dose-metric for poorly soluble dusts. Research Report 154. HSE Books.

FDA (1999). Food and Drug Administration, Federal Register 64 (98), 27666

Ferin J, Oberdörster G, Penney D P, Soderholm S C, Gelein R & Piper H C (1990). Increased pulmonary toxicity of ultrafines? 1. Particle clearance, translocation, morphology. Journal of Aerosol Science **21**, 381-384

Feynman, R (1959). There's plenty of room at the bottom. www.its.caltech.edu/~feynman/plenty.html

Fiorino D J (1990). Citizen participation and environmental risk: a survey of institutional mechanisms. Science, Technology and Human Values 15, 226–243

Flynn J (2003). Nuclear stigma. In The Social Amplification of Risk (eds Pidgeon N F, Kasperson R K and Slovic P) 326–354. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK

Funtowicz S O & Ravetz J R (1992). Three types of risk assessment and the emergence of post-normal science. In Social Theories of Risk (eds Krimsky S and Golding D) 251-274. Praeger: Westport, CT

Gaskell G, Allum N C, Bauer M, Durant J et al (2000). 'Biotechnology and the European public'. Nature Biotechnology **18**(9), 935–938

Gaskell G, Allum N & Stares S (2003). Europeans and Biotechnology in 2002: Eurobarometer 58.0. Methodology Institute, London School of Economics: London, UK

Gaskell G & Bauer M W (2001). Biotechnology 1996–2000: The Years of Controversy. Science Museum: London, UK

Greenpeace (2004). Nanotechnology. www.greenpeace.org.uk

Grove-White R, Mcnaghten P, Mayer S & Wynne B (1997). Uncertain World: Genetically Modified Organisms, Food and Public Attitudes in Britain. Centre for the Study of Environmental Change, University of Lancaster: Lancaster, UK

Grove-White R, Mcnaghten P & Wynne B (2000). Wising Up: The Public and New Technologies. Centre for the Study of Environmental Change, University of Lancaster: Lancaster, UK

Gsponer A (2002). From the lab to the battlefield? Nanotechnology and fourth-generation nuclear weapons. Disarmament Diplomacy 67 www.acronym.org.uk

Horlick-Jones T, Walls J, Rowe G, Pidgeon NF, Poortinga W & O'Riordan T 2004 A Deliberative Future? An Independent Evaluation of the GM Nation? Public Debate about the Possible Commercialisation of Transgenic Crops in Britain, 2003. Centre for Environmental Risk, University of East Anglia: Norwich, UK. www.uea.ac.uk/env/pur

House of Commons Science and Technology Committee (2004a). Fifth Report, Session 2003-04, Too little too late? Government Investment in Nanotechnology. HC 650. The Stationery Office Limited: London

House of Commons Science and Technology Committee (2004b). Sixth Special Report: Government Response to the Committee's Fifth Report, Session 2003-04, Too little too late? Government Investment in Nanotechnology. HC 650 The Stationery Office Limited: London

House of Lords (2000). Science and Society 3<sup>rd</sup> Report, House of Lords Select Committee on Science and Technology HL Paper 38. Her Majesty's Stationery Office: London

HSE (2004). Information Note – Nanotechnology, Horizons scanning information note No. HSIN1

HSL (2004). Pritchard D K. Literature review – explosion hazards associated with nanopowders, EC/04/03

Hussain N, Jaitley V & Florence A T (2001). Recent advances in the understanding of uptake of microparticulates across the gastrointestinal lymphatics. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 50, 107–142

IARC (2001). International Agency for Research on Cancer Handbooks on Cancer Prevention, volume 5. Sunscreens. IARC: Lyon, France Irwin A 1995. Citizen Science. Routledge: London

lijima S (1991). Helical microtubes of graphitic carbon. Nature **354**, 56–58

Illum L, Davis S S, Muller R H, Mak E & West P (1987). Targeting colloidal particles to the bone marrow. Life Sciences 40, 367-374

Joint Centre for Bioethics (2004). Will Prince Charles et al diminish the opportunities of developing countries in nanotechnology? Joint Centre For Bioethics: Toronto, Canada.

www.nanotechweb.org/articles/society/3/1/1/1

Joy (2000). Why the future doesn't need us. www.primitivism.com/future.htm

Krätschmer W, Lamb L D, Fostiropoulus K & Huffman D R (1990). Solid  $C_{60}$ : A new form of carbon. Nature **347**, 354

Kroto H W, Heath J R, O'Brien S C, Curl R F & Smalley R E (1985). *C*<sub>60</sub> : *Buckminsterfullerene*. Nature **318**, 162

Marris C, Wynne B, Simmons P & Weldon S (2002). Public Perceptions of Biotechnologies in Europe. Centre for the Study of Environmental Change, University of Lancaster: Lancaster, UK

Mayer, S. (2002). From genetic modification to nanotechnology: the dangers of 'sound science'. In Science: Can We Trust the Experts? (ed T Gilland) 1–15. Hodder and Stoughton: London

Maynard A D, Baron P A, Foley M, Shvedova A A, Kisin E R & Castranova V (2004). Exposure to carbon nanotube material: aerosol release during the handling of unrefined single-walled material. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health 67A, 87–107

Mehta, M D (2004). From biotechnology to nanotechnology: what can we learn from earlier technologies? Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society 24 (1), 34-39

MIT (2004). Institute for Soldier Nanotechnologies web.mit.edu/isn

MOD (2001) Nanotechnology information sheet. www.mod.uk/linked\_files/nanotech.pdf

Mossman B T, Bignon J, Corn M, Seaton A & Gee J B L (1990). Asbestos: scientific developments and implications for public policy. Science 247, 294-301

Mosterín J (2002). Ethical Implications of Nanotechnology in Nanotechnology: Revolutionary Opportunities and Societal Implications, EC-NSF 3rd Joint Workshop on Nanotechnology, Lecce, Italy, 31 January – 1 February 2002, 91-94

National Consumer Council (2003). Winning the Risk Game. National Consumer Council: London www.ncc.ora.uk.

National Consumer Council (2004) Calling in the chips? Findings from the first summit exploring the future of RFID technology in detail. National Consumer Council: London.

www.ncc.org.uk/technology/rfid2.pdf

NSF (2001). Societal Implications of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (eds M Roco and W Bainbridge). Kluwer: Netherlands

NSF (2003). Converging Technologies for Improving Human Performance (eds M Roco and W Bainbridge). Kluwer: Netherlands

Nuffield (2002). The ethics of patenting DNA: a discussion paper. Nuffield Council on Bioethics: London

Oberdörster G (1996). Significance of particle parameters in the evaluation of exposure – dose response relationships of inhaled particles. Inhalation Toxicology 8, 73-89

Oberdörster E (2004a). Manufactured nanomaterials (fullerenes, C60) induce oxidative stress in the brain of juvenile largemouth bass. Environmental Health Perspectives **112** (10) (doi:10.1289/ehp.7021)

Oberdörster G, Sharp Z, Atudorei V, Elder A, Gelein R, Kreyling W & Cox C (2004b). Translocation of inhaled ultrafine particles to the brain. Inhalation Toxicology 16 437-445

Okrent, D (1998). Risk perception and risk management: on knowledge, resource allocation and equity. Reliability Engineering and System Safety 59, 17-26

O'Neill, O (2002). A Question of Trust. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK

OST/Wellcome (2000). Science and the Public: A Review of Science Communication and Public Attitudes in Britain. The Wellcome Trust: London

Oxonica (2003). Cerulean's Nanocatalyst Technology, **Envirox** 

www.oxonica.com/products/envirox.htm

Peters A, Liu E, Verrier R L, Schwartz J, Gold D R, Mittleman M, Baliff J, Oh J A, Allen G, Monahan K & Dockery D W (2000). Air pollution and incidence of cardiac arrhythmia. Epidemiology 11,11–17.

Peters A, Perz S, Doring A, Stieber J, Koenig W & Wichmann H E (1999). Increases in heart rate during an air pollution episode. American Journal of Epidemiology **150**, 1094–1098.

Petts J (2004). Barriers to participation and deliberation in risk decisions: evidence from waste management. Journal of Risk Research 7, 115–133.

Phoenix C & Drexler E (2004). Safe exponential manufacturing. Nanotechnology 15, 869–872

Pidgeon N F, Kasperson R E & Slovic P (2003). The Social Amplification of Risk. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.

POST (1995). Plant Biotechnology: A Consensus. Report 56. Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology: London

POST (2001). Open Channels: Public Dialogue in Science and Technology. Report 153. Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology: London

Public Debate Steering Board (2003). GM Nation? The Findings of the Public Debate. Department of Trade and Industry: London. www.gmnation.org.uk

Raab C (2004). The Future of Privacy Protection. Science Review for Foresight Cybertrust and Crime Prevention project. www.foresight.gov.uk/cybertrust.html

Renn O (1999). A model for an analytic-deliberative process in risk management. Environmental Science and Technology **33**, 3049–3055

Renn O, Webler T & Wiedemann P (1995). Fairness and Competence in Citizen Participation: Evaluating Models for Environmental Discourse. Kluwer: Dordrecht

Renwick L C, Donaldson K & Clouter A (2001) Impairment of alveolar macrophage phagocytosis by ultrafine particles. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology **172**, 119–127

Rip A, Misa T J & Schot J 1995 Managing Technology in Society: The Approach of Constructive Technology Assessment. Pinter Press: London, UK

Roco M C (2004). The US National Nanotechnology Initiative after 3 years (2001–2003). Journal of Nanoparticle Research 6, 1-10 www.nsf.gov/home/crssprgm/nano/mcr\_04-0101\_ nniafter3yr jnr6(1).pdf

Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution (1998). Setting Environmental Standards, 21<sup>St</sup> Report. Her Majesty's Stationery Office: London

Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution (2003). Chemicals in products Safeguarding the Environment and Human Health, 24th Report. Her Majesty's Stationery Office: London

Royal Society (1992). Risk: Analysis, Perception and Management. Royal Society: London

Royal Society (2003). Keeping science open: the effects of intellectual property policy on the conduct of science. Royal Society: London

Royal Society (2004a). Making the UK safer: detecting and decontaminating chemical and biological agents. Royal Society: London

Royal Society (2004b). Science and Society Report. Royal Society: London

SCCNFP (2000). Opinion concerning Titanium Dioxide (Colipa n°S75). SCCNP: Brussels. www.europa.eu.int/comm/health/ph\_risk/committees/ sccp/docshtml/sccp out135 en.htm

SCCNFP (2003a). Opinion concerning zinc oxide (Colipa n°S76). SCCNFP/0649/03. SCCNP: Brussels. www.europa.eu.int/comm/health/ph risk/committees/ sccp/documents/out222\_en.pdf

SCCNFP (2003b). The SCCNFPs notes of guidance for the testing of cosmetic ingredients and their safety evaluation. SCCNFP/0690/03. SCCNFP: Brussels. www.europa.eu.int/comm/health/ph\_risk/committees/ sccp/documents/out242\_en.pdf

Schwab K, Henriksen E A, Worlock J M, Roukes M L (2000) Measurement of the quantum of thermal conductance Nature 404 (6781) 974-977

Schwartz J (2001). Air pollution and blood markers of cardiovascular risk. Environmental Health Perspectives 109 (suppl. 3), 405-409

Seaton A, Lamb D, Rhind Brown W, Sclare G & Middleton WG (1981). Pneumoconiosis of shale miners. Thorax **36**, 412–418

Seaton A (1995). Silicosis. In Occupational Lung Diseases, 3rd edition (eds Morgan W K C & Seaton A). WB Saunders: Philadelphia, USA

Seaton A, MacNee W, Donaldson K & Godden D (1995). Particulate air pollution and acute health effects. The Lancet 345, 176-178

Seaton A, Soutar A, Crawford V, Elton R, McNerlan S, Cherrie J, Watt M, Agius R & Stout R (1999). Particulate air pollution and the blood. Thorax **54**,1027–1032.

Seaton A & Dennekamp M (2003). Ill-health associated with low concentrations of nitrogen dioxide: an effect of ultrafine particles? Thorax 58, 1012–1015.

Service R F (2004). Nanotechnology Grows Up. Science **304** 1732-1734

Shore, P. & May-Miller, R. (2003). Production Challenge of the Optical Segments for Extra Large Telescopes. In Proceedings, International Progress on Advanced Optics and Sensors, 14–17 January 2003, Tokyo, Japan (eds Ohmori H., Shimizu, H M) 25-30, University Academy Press: Tokvo

Shvedova A A, Castranova V, Kisin E R, Schwegler-Berry D, Murray A R, Gandelsman V Z, Maynard A & Baron P (2003). Exposure to carbon nanotube material: assessment of nanotube cytotoxicity usung human keratinocyte cells. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health 66A, 1909–1926

Sims-Bainbridge W (2002). Public attitudes toward nanotechnology. Journal of Nanoparticle Research 4, 561-570

Sjöberg L (2000). Perceived risk and tampering with nature. Journal of Risk Research 3, 297-300

Slovic P (2000). Perception of Risk. Earthscan: London, UK

Stern PC and Fineberg HC (1996). Understanding Risk: Informing Decisions in a Democratic Society. US National Research Council: Washington, DC

Stirling A (2004). Opening up or closing down? Analysis, participation and power in the social appraisal of technology. In Science Citizenship and Globalisation (eds. Leach M, Scoones I and Wynne B). Zed: London (in press)

Stirling A & Mayer S (1999). Rethinking Risk: A Pilot Multi-criteria Mapping of a Genetically Modified Crop in Agricultural Systems in the UK. Science and Technology Policy Research: Sussex, UK

Swiss Re (2004). Nanotechnology: Small Matter, Many Unknowns. Swiss Re: Zurich, Switzerland www.swissre.com

TAB (2004). Summary of TAB working report No. 92, Nanotechnology. Büro für Technikfolgen-Abschätzung beim Deutschen Bundestag: Berlin. www.tab.fzk.de/en/projekt/zusammenfassung/ab92.htm Taniguchi, N (1974). On the basic concept of nanotechnology. In Proceedings of the International Congress on Prod Eng. Tokyo, Japan: JSPE

Turner, B A & Pidgeon N F (1997). Man-made Disasters. Butterworth-Heinemann: Oxford

UKCEED (1999). Final Report of the UK National Consensus Conference on Radioactive Waste. UK Centre for Economic and Environmental Development: Peterborough, UK

UK Government (2003). The Government's Response to Better Regulation Task Force's report on Scientific Research: Innovation with Controls. www.brtf.gov.uk/taskforce/responses%20new/Sciencere sponse.doc

Vallyathan V (1994). Generation of oxygen radicals by minerals and its correlation to cytotoxicity. Environmental Health Perspectives 102 (suppl. 10), 111-115

Vaughan D (1996). The Challenger Launch Decision: Risky Technology, Culture and Deviance at NASA. University of Chicago Press: Chicago

Wagner J C, Pooley F D, Berry G, Seal R M E, Munday D E, Morgan, J & Clark, N J (1982). A pathological and mineralogical study of asbestos-related deaths in the United Kingdom in 1977. Annals of Occupational Hygiene **26** , 423–431

Warheit D B, Laurence B R, Reed K L, Roach D H, Reynolds G A M & Webb T R (2004). Comparative toxicity assessment of single-wall carbon nanotubes in rats. Toxicological Sciences 76, 117–125

Whatmore R W (2001). Nanotechnology: big prospects for small engineering. Ingenia issue 9, August, 28–34

Wolbring (2003) Science and technology and the triple D (disease, disability, defect). In Converging Technologies for Improving Human Performance (eds M Roco and W Bainbridge). Kluwer: Netherlands

Wood S. Jones R & Geldart A (2003). Social and Economic Challenges of Nanotechnology. Economic and Social Research Council: Swindon, UK

Wynne B (1996). May the sheep safely graze? A reflexive view of the expert-lay knowledge divide. In Risk, Environment and Modernity: Towards a New Ecology (eds Lash S, Szerszynski B & Wynne B) 44-83. Sage: London

Wynne B (2003). Societal aspects of nanotechnology: misunderstanding science? Paper presented at EuroNanoForum Conference, 10-12 December, Trieste, Italy.

Yearley, S. (2000). Making systematic sense of public discontents with expert knowledge: two analytical approaches and a case study. Public Understanding of Science **9**, 105–122.

Yurke B, Turberfield A J, Mills A P, Simmel F C, Neumann J L (2000). A DNA-fuelled molecular machine made of DNA. Nature 406, 606

Zhang, W (2003). Nanoscale iron particles for environmental remediation: An overview. Journal of Nanoparticle Research 5, 323-332.